

Artificial Neural Network based Solar Radiation Estimation

Amar Choudhary^{1,*}, Deependra Pandey¹ and Saurabh Bhardwaf² ¹Amity School of Engineering and Technology, Amity University, Lucknow-226010 (Uttar Pradesh), India. ²Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Thapar University, Patiala-147001 (Punjab), India.

> (Corresponding author: Amar Choudhary) (Received 20 February 2020, Revised 09 April 2020, Accepted 14 April 2020) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Solar radiation is well estimated by Artificial Neural Network. Meteorological data, Location details and Solar radiation data of 13 stations of Sri Lanka belonging from each part are used for Training, Testing and Validation of Neural Network. The present study is performed with all three types of training algorithm, Levenberg-Marquardt (LM), Bayesian Regularization (BR) and Scaled Conjugate Gradient (SCG). Their performance are compared on the basis of Mean Square Error (MSE), Regression Value (R), Slope of Regression line (m) and Intercept of Regression line (c), which are found in the range of 0-0.18131, 0.95581-0.99991, 0.89-1.0 and 0.00019-0.087 respectively for selected stations of Sri Lanka.

Keywords: Solar Energy, Solar Radiation, Artificial Neural Network, Renewable Energy, Sustainable Energy, Machine Learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

Solar radiation data are essential part of solar voltaic applications. Due to the abundancy of Solar Radiation across the globe, it takes great lead over other type of renewable energy [1-2]. Optimization of solar energy harvesting is possible only when solar radiation is estimated well in advance which is measured by devices such as solarimeter. pyranometer. pyrheliometer etc. installed at meteorological stations. These stations are scarce, also the maintenance cost and installation of these devices are very costly [3]. These issues are well addressed by development of solar radiation estimation model. These models take location and meteorological data to input and provides solar radiation data at output. In ancient days only mathematical models were developed. However, now a days soft computing based models are generally developed. These models are trained, tested and then implemented at the locations of interest where measuring devices are not there.

ANN based solar radiation modeling has great lead over other types like Fuzzy Logic, GA, SVM etc. due to its advantages. ANN can be well used to solve complex mathematical problems, such as non linear functions etc. [4]. Researchers have worked on several soft computing techniques in last 10-15 years and found that ANN is a better option in comparison to others [5-7].

In the present study, ANN based solar radiation model is developed based on the data of stations of Sri Lanka. Performance of all three types of training algorithms are compared. The data are collected form CLIMWAT and CROPWAT of FAO, UN. Latitude, longitude, altitude, months of a year, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, humidity, wind velocity and sunshine duration are used to predict the solar radiation.

In section II, ANN in solar radiation estimation is briefed, section III, solar radiation estimation model is

developed, section IV is for result and discussion while section V is for conclusion, future scope and limitations.

II. ANN IN ESTIMATION OF SOLAR RADIATION

ANN is a tool for estimation related issues. It provides efficient way of determining nonlinear relationships. The architecture of ANN is shown in Fig. 1.





It consists of inputs, weights, transfer function, threshold/bias, and activation function.

$$O_j = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i . w_i + \emptyset. \theta_j \tag{1}$$

1122

Here,

O_j: output of ANN,

x: input to the ANN,

w: is the weights of ANN,

 θ_j : is the bias/threshold, and

 $\boldsymbol{\phi}$: is activation function.

Several researchers are there who have developed number of ANN models and tested at the different part of the world [8-12].

III. SOLAR RADIATION ESTIMATION MODELLING USING ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

Various steps involved in this section are detailed as under:

A. Geographical and Meteorological data and Methodology

In the present analysis, data of 13 stations (Table 1) are taken into consideration. They all belong to Sri Lanka, represented in Fig. 2. Their geographical properties are shown in Table 1. The radiation and meteorological data of selected stations are downloaded from CLIMWAT 2.0 and CROPWAT 8.0 of FAO, UN.



Fig. 2. Selected stations of Sri Lanka for study.

 Table 1: Geographical Characteristics of selected stations along with solar radiation data.

Stations	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Altitude (m)
Badulla	6.98	81.05	667
Batticaloa	7.71	81.70	12
Colombo	6.90	79.86	7
Galle	6.03	80.21	18
Hambantota	6.11	81.13	20
Jaffna	9.65	80.01	03
Kandy	7.33	80.63	480
Mannar	8.98	79.91	03
Nawara	6.96	80.76	1880
Puttalam	8.03	79.83	2
Ratmalana	6.81	79.88	5
Tricomalee	8.58	81.25	7
Vavuniya	8.75	80.50	98

As the downloaded data are having different measuring units as well as scale so, it needs to be normalized before use. Max-Min normalization is used (Equation-2) to maintain the simplicity and ease of calculation.

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_{i}^{'} = \left[\left(\frac{\nu_{i} - B}{A - B} \right) \cdot (M - N) \right] + N \tag{2}$$

Here $v_i^{'}$ is normalized value of variables, v_i is downloaded value variables, A is Maximum value, B is minimum value, M is new maximum value, and N is new minimum value.

B. Methodology

There are two Neural Network tool (NF Tool and NN Tool) in MATLAB. In the present study NF Tool is considered. Tan-Sigmoid activation function is used here in this study. A computer program is performed under MATLAB-R2016a using Neural Fitting Tool (NF Tool), configured as detailed in Table 2. The network type used is Feed Forward Back Propagation.

Table 2 : Customization detail of Neural Fitting Tool.

S. No.	Particulars	Configuration Details	
1.	Network Type	Feed Forward Back Propagation	
2.	Training Algorithm	TRAINLM, TRAINBR and TRAINSCG	
3.	Adaptation Learning Function	LEANGDM	
4.	Error Function	MSE	
5.	Number of Hidden Layers	02	
6.	Properties for Layer-1	Transfer Function: TANSIG, No. of Neurons: 10	
7.	Properties of Layer-2	Transfer Function: TANSIG	
8.	Training Info	Input and Output	
9.	Training Parameters	Epochs: 1000, max_fail: 6	
10.	Data Division	Random (dividerand)	
11.	Training	Levenberg-Marquardt (trainlm), Bayesian Regularization and Scaled Conjugate Gradient	
12.	Performance	Mean Squared Error (MSE)	
13.	Calculation	MEX	
14.	Plot Interval	1 Epochs	

C. Statistical Error Test

In the present study, due to simplicity and availability with Neural Fitting Tool, Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) is used for error calculation and evaluation of the model.

$$MSE = \left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(SR_{i(predicted)} - SR_{i(actual)} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(3)
Here,

n : number of input,

 $SR_{i(ANN)}$: predicted solar radiation,

 $SR_{i(actual)}$: actual solar radiation.

IV. RESULTSAND DISCUSSION

Neural Network Fitting Tool is customized as per Table 2. Normalized input (Latitude, Longitude, Altitude, Months of a year, Maximum Temperature, Minimum Temperature, Humidity, Wind Velocity and Sunshine Hour)is provided to NF Tool and Solar Radiation is provided at Output. The architecture of Neural Network and default division of data into Training, Testing and Validation are shown in Figs. 3 and 4 respectively.



Fig. 3. ANN Network Architecture

A Neural Fitting (nftool)

Validation an Set aside some sa	d Test Data mples for validation and tes	sting.
Select Percentages	e 156 samples:	
Training:	70%	110 samples
Validation:	15% ~	23 samples
💗 Testing:	15% ~	23 samples

Fig. 4. Default data division.

Simulation is carried out for three different types of Training Algorithm and their respective plots and results are recorded.

A. Training Algorithm: Levenberg- Marquardt (trainlm)

Neural Network	\land Neural Network Tr	aining (nntra	aintool)	_	
Hidden Output uput uput g uput uput uput uput <th>Neural Network</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Neural Network				
Algorithms Data Division: Random (dividerand) Training: Levenberg-Marquardt (trainIm) Performance: Mean Squared Error (mse) Calculations: MEX Progress Epoch: 0 19 iterations 1000 Time: 0.00002 Performance: 0.835 7.67e-05 0.00 Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e- Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Plots Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs	9 W	Hidden + / +	Output b		Output
Data Division: Random (dividerand) Training: Levenberg-Marquardt (trainIm) Performance: Mean Squared Error (mse) Calculations: MEX Progress Epoch: 0 19 iterations 1000 Time: 0.00002 Performance: 0.835 7.67e-05 0.000 Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e- Mu: 0.00100 1.00e-05 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Plots Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs	Algorithms				
Training: Levenberg-Marquardt (trainIm) Performance: Mean Squared Error (mse) Calculations: MEX Progress Epoch: 0 19 iterations 1000 Time: 0.000.02 Performance: 0.835 7.67e-05 0.00 Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e- Mu: 0.00100 1.00e-05 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Plots Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs	Data Division: Rand	om (divide	rand)		
Performance: Mean Squared Error (mse) Calculations: MEX Progress Epoch: 0 19 iterations 1000 Time: 0:00:02 Performance: 0.835 7.67e-05 0.00 Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e- Mu: 0.00100 1.00e-05 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Plots Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (plotterrhist) Regression (plotterrhist) Regression (plotterrhist) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot	Training: Lever	nberg-Marqu	uardt (trainlm)		
Calculations: MEX Progress Epoch: 0 19 iterations 1000 Time: 0.00002 Performance: 0.835 7.67e-05 0.00 Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e Mu: 0.00100 1.00e-05 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 Plots Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (ploterrhist) Regression Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: Poening Performance Plot	Performance: Mean	n Squared Er	ror (mse)		
Progress Epoch: 0 19 iterations 1000 Time: 0:00002 0.00 0.00002 Performance: 0.835 7.67e-05 0.00 Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e Mu: 0.00100 1.00e-05 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Plots 6 6 Plots (plotperform) Training State (plotrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) 1 Fit (plotfit) 1 1 epochs	Calculations: MEX				
Epoch: 0 19 iterations 1000 Time: 0.00002 0.00 Performance: 0.835 7.67e-05 0.00 Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e Mu: 0.00100 1.00e-05 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (plotterrhist) Regression (plottrgression) Fit (plotfit) 1 epochs 1	Progress				
Time: 0:00:02 Performance: 0.835 7.67e-05 0.00 Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Portor 6 6 Performance (plotperform) 1.00e Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (plotterrhist) Regression (plottrgression) Fit (plottfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs 1	Epoch:	0	19 iterations		1000
Performance: 0.835 7.67e-05 0.00 Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e Mu: 0.00100 1.00e-05 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Plots Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plottrainstate) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs	Time:		0:00:02		
Gradient: 2.15 0.000472 1.00e- Mu: 0.00100 1.00e-05 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Plots Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotpergression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot	Performance:	0.835	7.67e-05		0.00
Mu: 0.00100 1.00e-05 1.00e Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Plots Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: Opening Performance Plot	Gradient:	2.15	0.000472		1.00e-07
Validation Checks: 0 6 6 Plots Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot	Mu: 0	0.00100	1.00e-05		1.00e+1
Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot	Validation Checks:	0	6		6
Performance (plotperform) Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot	Plots				
Training State (plottrainstate) Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot	Performance	(plotperfo	orm)		
Error Histogram (ploterrhist) Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot 1	Training State	(plottrains	state)		
Regression (plotregression) Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot 1	Error Histogram	(ploterrhist)			
Fit (plotfit) Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot	Regression	Regression (plotregression)			
Plot Interval: 1 epochs Opening Performance Plot	Fit	(plotfit)			
Opening Performance Plot	Plot Interval:	dontonio	1	epochs	
	Opening Perfor	mance Plot			
Chara Taninina Can	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Chara Tania		@ Connel

Fig. 5(a) Training Environment.





Choudhary et al., International Journal on Emerging Technologies 11(2): 1122-1128(2020)

B. Bayesian Regularization (trainbr)



Fig. 6(a) Training Environment.





Fig. 6(e) Regression Plot.

C. Scaled Conjugate Gradient (trainscg)



Fig. 7(a) Training Environment.











Fig. 7(e) Training Environment.

1126

Results	2020 C 0 100	
	🐝 Samples	MSE MSE
🕽 Training:	110	1.38099e-4
🕡 Validation:	23	9.89951e-4
💗 Testing:	23	2.44587e-3

Fig. 8(a) MSE in case of TrainIm.

	🖏 Samples	MSE MSE
🛡 Training:	110	6.37031e-6
🕡 Validation:	23	0.00000e-0
🕡 Testing:	23	1.51899e-4

	载 Samples	🖻 MSE
🔋 Training:	110	1.52614e-3
🕡 Validation:	23	1.84569e-3
💗 Testing:	23	1.98126e-3

Fig. 8(b) MSE in case of Trainbr.

Fig. 8(c) MSE in case of Trainscg.

Table 3: Obtained MSE in three cases.

Booulto	MSE		
nesuits	TrainIm	Trainbr	Trainscg
Training	0.02529	0.01579	0.07598
Validation	0.18131	0	0.09188
Testing	0.12177	0.02782	0.05965

Table 4: Obtained Regression (R) value in three cases.

Bagulto	R			
nesuits	TrainIm	Trainbr	Trainscg	
Training	0.99817	0.99991	0.97783	
Validation	0.98231	0.99791	0.98505	
Testing	0.95581	0.99962	0.95838	
All	0.99182	—	0.97738	

Table 5: Obtained Slope (m) value in three cases.

Desults	Μ			
Results	TrainIm	Trainbr	Trainscg	
Training	1.0	1.0	0.98	
Validation	0.98	0.99	0.95	
Testing	0.89	1.0	0.93	
All	0.98	—	0.96	

Table 6: Obtained Intercept (c) value in three cases.

Results	С		
	TrainIm	Trainbr	Trainscg
Training	0.0031	0.00019	0.013
Validation	0.014	0.0051	0.049
Testing	0.087	0.001	0.049
All	0.013	_	0.027

After training the network using different training algorithms, plots 5(a-e), 6(a-e) and 7(a-e) are obtained. Also, snapshot of obtained Mean Square Error are shown in Fig. 8(a-c).

Based on these plots Table 4-6 are placed to retrieve the summary of simulation for all three training algorithms.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

This paper provides ANN based solar radiation estimation modeling using Levenberg-Marguardt (tainlm), Bayesian Regularization (trainbr) and Scaled Conjugate Gradient (trainscg) with the stations of Sri Lanka. The developed model is based on location and meteorological details of stations. Bayesian Regularization has least Mean Square Error and better regression values in comparison to the other two training algorithms whereas Scaled Conjugate Gradient have better values of m and c. Overall performance of the network in all three cases are considerable one.

In future the optimization technique such as PSO may be used for better results. Also, WEKA technique may be used to find the priority of the inputs.

Overall, simulation results are in favor of target and having good consideration for future use.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank to Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of United Nations for developing CLIMWAT and CROPWAT and maintaining solar radiation and meteorological database of large number of stations worldwide.

Conflict of Interest. We do not have conflict of interest to any of the institution, firm, organization, author or publisher.

REFERENCES

[1]. Pandey, D., & Choudhary, A. (2018). A Review of Potential, Generation and Factors of Solar Energy. *Journal of Thermal Engineering and Applications*, *5*(2), 1-4.

[2]. Jemaa, A. B., Rafa, S., Essounbouli, N., Hamzaoui, A., Hnaien, F., & Yalaoui, F. (2013). Estimation of global solar radiation using three simple methods. *Energy Procedia*, *42*, 406-415.

[3]. Mubiru, J., & Banda, E. J. K. B. (2008). Estimation of monthly average daily global solar irradiation using artificial neural networks. *Solar Energy*, *82*(2), 181-187.

[4].Cybenko G. (1989), Approximation by superposition of a sigmoidal function. *Mathematics of Control Signal and Systems, 2*: 303-314.

[5]. Yadav, A. K., & Chandel, S. S. (2012). Artificial neural network based prediction of solar radiation for Indian stations. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, *50*(9), 1-4.

[6]. Malik, H., & Garg, S. (2019). Long-Term Solar Irradiance Forecast Using Artificial Neural Network: Application for Performance Prediction of Indian Cities. In *Applications of Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Engineering* (pp. 285-293). Springer, Singapore.

[7]. Kumar, A., & Khatri, R. (2019). Solar Energy Prediction Using Backpropagation in Artificial Neural Networks. In *International Conference on Advanced* *Computing Networking and Informatics* (pp. 27-34). Springer, Singapore.

[8]. Benghanem, M., Mellit, A., & Alamri, S. N. (2009). ANN-based modelling and estimation of daily global solar radiation data: A case study. *Energy conversion and management*, *50*(7), 1644-1655.

[9]. Yadav, A. K., & Chandel, S. S. (2014). Solar radiation prediction using Artificial Neural Network techniques: A review. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *33*, 772-781.

[10]. Dorvlo, A. S., Jervase, J. A., & Al-Lawati, A. (2002). Solar radiation estimation using artificial neural networks. *Applied Energy*, *71*(4), 307-319.

[11]. Kumar, R., Aggarwal, R. K., & Sharma J. D. (2012). Solar radiation estimation using artificial neural network: A review. *Asian Journal of Contemporary Sciences*, *1*, 12-17.

[12]. Choudhary, A., Pandey, D., & Kumar, A. (2019). A Review of Various Techniques for Solar Radiation Estimation. In *2019 3rd International Conference on Recent Developments in Control, Automation & Power Engineering (RDCAPE)*, 169-174.

How to cite this article: Choudhary, A., Pandey, D. and Bhardwaj, S. (2020). Artificial Neural Network based Solar Radiation Estimation. *International Journal on Emerging Technologies*, *11*(2): 1122–1128.